

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,107	08/17/2006	Takakazu Yamamoto	75954-010300	1090	
33717 GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP (1A) 2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SANTA MONICA. CA 90404			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KEYS, ROSALYND ANN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1621	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/508 107 YAMAMOTO ET AL

0551 4-41 0	10/090,107					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROSALYND KEYS	1621				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after 53/6 (MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with 1944. Any reply recised by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patient term adjustment, See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
— · · · —	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
· _						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)  ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	<b>,</b>	(-) (-)				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	a III iiio Maionai Giago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.				
		-				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/06 and 11/13/07.

6) Other: \_\_\_

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Status of Claims

Claims 1-13 are pending.

Claims 1-13 are rejected.

## Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on December 27, 2006 and November 13, have been considered by the examiner.

#### Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(a). Correction of the following is required: in claim 1, R2 can be represented by a halogen. However, the specification only discloses that it can be represented by an alkyl group or a silyl group; in claim 7, R6 and R6' can be represented by an alkyl group. However, the specification only discloses that it can be represented by a silyl group.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are indefinite because the claim 1 does not contain a definition for R1'.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was potented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for potent in the United States.
- Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noguchi et al. (EP 1 074 600 A21.

Noguchi et al. disclose a polymer compound having a structure represented by the claimed formula 2 in its main chain (see entire disclosure, in particular paragraphs 0027-0029, 0032, 0036).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be potented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter perfains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459
   (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e). (f) or (a) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al. (EP 1 074 600 A2) as applied to claims 4-8 above, and further in view of Yamamoto et al. (JP 409077854A).

Noguchi et al. that the polymers of their invention may be prepared by a dehalogenation method (see paragraph 0053), but fail to teach using a nickel catalyst.

However, nickel catalysts are known to be suitable for use in dehydrohalogenation polymerizations (see attached abstract of Yamamoto et al., JP 409077854A).

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to utilize a nickel catalyst, as taught by Yamamoto et al., in the dehalogenation polymerization of Noguchi et al., since Yamamoto et al. teach that nickel is a suitable catalyst for in dehydrohalogenation polymerization reactions.

### Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 1-3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROSALYND KEYS whose telephone number is 571-272-0639. The examiner can normally be reached on M. R. & F.5:30-7:30 am. & 1-5 pm; T. & W.5:30 am-4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ROSALYND KEYS/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

February 17, 2008